

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,962	02/04/2004	Yoshihiro Mutoh	3005-55	3849
7	590 07/12/2005		EXAMINER	
LEWIS F. GOULD, JR. DUANE MORRIS LLP			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA	
ONE LIBERT	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPH	IIA, PA 19103		1725	
			DATE MALLED ARMADA	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
065 4-45 0	10/771,962	MUTOH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	M. Alexandra Elve	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	:s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3i after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of third ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on .					
	☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	_ ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrictions.	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Sta	ge			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/16/04, 5/10/04.</li> </ol>	.948) Paper No(s D/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Is 6) ☐ Other:	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 & 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Funayama et al. (USPN 4,794,222).

Funayama et al. discloses laser beam machining in which the laser beam is directed through a nozzle, which has annular electrodes. These electrodes measure the gap between the nozzle tip and the workpiece using capacitance as well as the potential. Gap capacitance is measured with the inner electrode 28 (D) and potential is measured with the outside electrode 30 (F). The first (inside) electrode covers the lateral side portion of the nozzle except the tip, which is covered by an insulating portion (negates impedance) and a final external annular electrode. These electrodes as can be seen in figures 6-7, 11, 13 are concentric and the laser beam is directed along the central axis of the nozzle. (abstract, figures, col. 1, lines 20-61, col. 2, lines 9-25, col. 3, lines 45-68, col. 4, lines 1-6, col. 7, lines 10-16, 63, col. 8, lines 15-68, col. 9, lines 1-21, col. 10, lines 48-68, col. 11, lines 1-7, 39-47, col. 13, lines 1-10)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 & 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funayama et al., as stated in the above paragraph and further in view of Schmall (USPN 4,682,004).

Funayama et al. discloses annular electrodes, but not a second annular electrode.

Schmall discloses a measuring arrangement for thermal working (laser beams). The system measures capacitance and hence distance (gap) between the nozzle and the workpiece. Multiple annular electrodes are shown in figures 2-5. (abstract, figures, col. 1, lines 8-50, col. 2, lines 15-40, col. 3, lines 9-16, col. 4, lines 3-60, col. 5, lines 7-63, col. 6, lines 10-40, col. 7, lines 1-15)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use multiple electrodes, as taught by Schmall in the Funayama et al. system because interference signals which alter capacitance readings can be negated with the use of multiple electrodes. This will ensure more accurate distance (gap) measurements and thus result in a high quality dimensional product.

Art Unit: 1725

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 9, 2005.

M. Alexandra Elve

Primary Examiner 1725